

Telephone: (360) 664-9160 FAX: (360) 586-2253 Email: eluho@eluho.wa.gov

Website: www.eluho.wa.gov

# STATE OF WASHINGTON ENVIRONMENTAL AND LAND USE HEARINGS OFFICE

Mailing Address: PO Box 40903, Olympia, WA 98504-0903 Physical Address: 1111 Israel Rd SW, Suite 301, Tumwater, WA 98501

May 21, 2021

### Sent by Email and US Mail

Jean Mendoza Executive Director Friends of Toppenish Creek 3142 Signal Peak Road White Swan WA 98952 Thomas J. Young Assistant Attorney General PO Box 40117 Olympia WA 98504

Re:

PCHB No. 19-060

FRIENDS OF TOPPENISH CREEK v. LOWER YAKIMA VALLEY GWMA ADVISORY COMMITTEE and THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

Dear Parties:

Enclosed is the Pollution Control Hearings Board's Order Denying Reconsideration in this matter.

This is a FINAL ORDER for purposes of appeal to Superior Court within 30 days. *See* Administrative Procedures Act (RCW 34.05.542) and RCW 43.21B.180.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact the staff at the Environmental and Land Use Hearings Office at 360-664-9160.

Sincerely,

Heather C. Francks, Presiding Administrative Appeals Judge

Heather C. Francks

HCF/le/P19-060 Encl.

### CERTIFICATION

On this day, I forwarded a true and accurate copy of the documents to which this certificate is affixed via United States Postal Service postage prepaid or via delivery through State Consolidated Mail Services to the attorneys of record herein. I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the

state of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED 5 2 7 2 , at Tumwater, WA.

## POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD

1 STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 FRIENDS OF TOPPENISH CREEK, 3 Appellant, PCHB No. 19-060 4 v. ORDER DENYING RECONSIDERATION 5 LOWER YAKIMA VALLEY GWMA 6 ADVISORY COMMITTEE and STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF 7 ECOLOGY, 8 Respondents. 9 BACKGROUND 10 Appellant Friends of Toppenish Creek (FOTC) filed an appeal with the Pollution Control 11 Hearings Board (Board) challenging the State of Washington, Department of Ecology's 12 (Ecology) certification of the Lower Yakima Valley Groundwater Management Plan. On March 13 19, 2021, after a full hearing on the appeal, the Board issued Findings of Fact, Conclusions of 14 Law and Order (Order). The Order affirmed Ecology's certification of the Lower Yakima 15 Valley Groundwater Management Plan. 16 On March 29, 2021, FOTC filed Friends of Toppenish Creek Petition for Reconsideration 17 (Petition). On March 31, 2021, Ecology filed Respondent State of Washington, Department of 18 Ecology's Answer to Petition for Reconsideration (Answer).<sup>1</sup> 19 20 <sup>1</sup> Friends of Toppenish Creek submitted a Reply to Ecology's Answer to Petition for Reconsideration which the Board did not consider because the Board's rules do not allow for a reply to an answer to a petition for 21

reconsideration, WAC 371-08-550.

FOTC presented two grounds for the Petition:

- The Lower Yakima Valley Groundwater Management Implementation Executive
   Committee had not fulfilled the conditions for certification listed in Ecology's July

   2019 Letter of Certification.
- 2. The summary judgment declaration of Ecology's David Bowen misinformed the Board as to sources of nitrates in the water in the lower Yakima Valley and resulted in the Board granting summary judgment dismissing Issue 4. This decision led to the exclusion of evidence at hearing regarding the accuracy of GWMA research and nitrate sources.

In its Answer, Ecology argues that the Petition should be denied. As to FOTC's first ground, Ecology argues that FOTC did not raise this issue previously, it has nothing to do with whether Ecology's certification decision was proper, and it is beyond the Board's authority as it relates to a compliance matter. *Answer at 1*. Ecology further argues that the second ground for reconsideration should also be rejected because it relates to a legal issue dismissed on summary judgment on April 7, 2020, and it does not rely on any new evidence or arguments the Board has not previously considered. *Answer at 1*.

#### **ANALYSIS**

A party may file a petition for reconsideration of a final Board decision. WAC 371-08-550. The Superior Court's Civil Rules guide the Board in reviewing a petition for reconsideration, except when in conflict with the Board's practice rules. WAC 371-08-300(1), (2). Civil Rule (CR) 59(a) sets forth the grounds for reconsideration of superior court decisions.

The grounds include irregularity in the proceedings, misconduct of the prevailing party, accident or surprise, newly discovered evidence that could not with reasonable diligence have been discovered and produced at trial, lack of evidence or reasonable inference from the evidence to justify the verdict or the decision, or that the decision is contrary to law. CR 59(a). The Petition does not address Civil Rule 59(a) or how FOTC's arguments meet any of the grounds for reconsideration in the rule.

FOTC's first ground for reconsideration relates to compliance after certification. This appeal challenges whether Ecology's certification was proper, not whether, after certification, the implementation committee has complied with the certification conditions imposed by Ecology. Future compliance issues are beyond the scope of this appeal.

As to FOTC's second ground for reconsideration, the Board considered FOTC's same arguments in summary judgment briefing and at hearing about nitrate sources such as municipal and industrial wastewater, and biosolids that should have been included in the Nitrogen Availability Assessment. Ecology regulates these sources of nitrates through other mechanisms such as NPDES permits. None of this information is new. A petition for reconsideration is not an opportunity to reargue the case.

After careful review of the record, the Board finds that FOTC's arguments do not satisfy any of the grounds for reconsideration under CR 59 (a). For these reasons, the Board enters the following:

### **ORDER** Appellant Friends of Toppenish Creek's Petition for Reconsideration is **DENIED**. SO ORDERED this 21<sup>St</sup> day of May, 2021. POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD NEIL L. WISE, Board Chair CAROLINA SUN-WIDROW, Member Michelle Bonzalz MICHELLE GONZALEZ, Member Heather C. Frances HEATHER C. FRANCKS, Presiding Administrative Appeals Judge